arXiv:1602.06427v1 [math.AG] 20 Feb 2016

Correspondence between 2 Calabi-Yau Categories and Quivers

Jie Ren

February 23, 2016

In Section 8 of [1], M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman proved that the equivalence classes of a certain type of 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau categories are in one-to-one correspondence with the gauge equivalence classes of quiver with minimal potential (Q, W). This note gives an analogue in 2-dimensional Calabi-Yau case. We assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is a field of characteristic zero.

Theorem. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an ind-constructible 2-dimensional $\boldsymbol{k}$-linear Calabi-Yau category generated by a finite collection $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}_{i\in I}$ of generators satisfying*

- $Ext^0(E_i, E_i) = \boldsymbol{k} \cdot id_{E_i}$,
- $Ext^0(E_i, E_j) = 0, \forall i \neq j$,
- $Ext^{<0}(E_i, E_j) = 0, \forall i, j$.

The equivalence classes of such categories with respect to A_∞-transformations preserving the Calabi-Yau structure and $\mathcal{E}$, are in one-to-one correspondence with finite symmetric quivers with even number of loops at each vertex.

Proof. Let's denoted by $\mathscr{A}$ the set of equivalence classes of such 2 Calabi-Yau categories, and $\mathscr{B}$ the set of finite symmetric quivers with even number of loops at each vertex.

Given such a category $\mathscr{C}$, we associate a quiver Q whose vertices $\{i\}_{i\in I}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}_{i\in I}$, and the number of arrows from i to j is equal to $\dim Ext^1(E_i, E_j)$. Since $\mathscr{C}$ is 2 Calabi-Yau, we have $\dim Ext^1(E_i, E_j) = \dim Ext^1(E_i, E_j)^\vee = \dim Ext^1(E_j, E_i)$, so Q is symmetric. The supersymmetric non-degenerate pairing on $Ext^\bullet(E_i, E_i)$ leads

to a symplectic pairing on $Ext^1(E_i, E_i)$, thus $\dim Ext^1(E_i, E_i)$ is even, which means that the number of loops at each vertex is even. This construction defines a map $\Phi : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$.

To prove that Φ is a bijection, we consider $\mathscr{C}$ with single generator E, and quiver Q with single vertex for simplicity. The general case can be proved in a similar way.

Let Q be a quiver with one vertex and $|J| = 2n$ loops, where J is the set of loops. We will construct a 2 Calabi-Yau category with one generator E, such that $2n = \dim\ Ext^1(E, E)$. Assuming that such a category exists, we will find an explicit formula for the potential on $A = Hom^\bullet(E, E)$. Let's consider the graded vector space

$$Ext^\bullet(E, E)[1] = Ext^0(E, E)[1] \oplus Ext^1(E, E) \oplus Ext^2(E, E)[-1] = \mathbf{k}[1] \oplus \mathbf{k}^{2n} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-1].$$

We introduce graded coordinates on $Ext^\bullet(E, E)[1]$:

a) the coordinate α of degree 1 on $Ext^0(E, E)[1]$,

b) the coordinate β of degree -1 on $Ext^2(E, E)[-1]$,

c) the coordinates $x_i, \xi_i, i = 1, ..., n$ of degree 0 on $Ext^1(E, E) = Ext^1(E, E)^\vee$.

The Calabi-Yau structure gives rise to the minimal potential $W = W(\alpha, x_i, \xi_i, \beta)$, which is a series of cyclic words on the space $Ext^\bullet(E, E)[1]$. Furthermore, A defines a non-commutative formal pointed graded manifold endowed with a symplectic structure (c.f. [2]). The potential W satisfies the equation $\{W, W\} = 0$, where $\{\bullet, \bullet\}$ is the corresponding Poisson bracket.

We need to construct the formal series W of degree 1 in cyclic words on the graded vector space $\mathbf{k}[1] \oplus \mathbf{k}^{2n} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-1]$, satisfying $\{W, W\} = 0$ with respect to the Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}](f, g) + [\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}](f, g).$$

Let $W_{can} = \alpha^2\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\alpha x_i \xi_i - \alpha \xi_i x_i)$. This potential makes $Ext^\bullet(E, E)$ into a 2 Calabi-Yau algebra with associative product and the unit. The multiplications are as follows: the multiplication of $Ext^0(E, E)$ and the other components is scalar product, and is a non-degenerate bilinear form on the components $Ext^1(E, E) \otimes Ext^1(E, E) \to Ext^2(E, E) \simeq \mathbf{k}$.

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}\{W_{can}, W_{can}\} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\tfrac{\partial W_{can}}{\partial x_i}, \tfrac{\partial W_{can}}{\partial \xi_i}] + [\tfrac{\partial W_{can}}{\partial \alpha}, \tfrac{\partial W_{can}}{\partial \beta}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\xi_i\alpha - \alpha\xi_i)(\alpha x_i - x_i\alpha) - (\alpha x_i - x_i\alpha)(\xi_i\alpha - \alpha\xi_i) \\ &+(\alpha\beta + \beta\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{n}(x_j\xi_j - \xi_j x_j))\alpha^2 - \alpha^2(\alpha\beta + \beta\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(x_k\xi_k - \xi_k x_k)) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The above construction from Q to $\mathscr{C}$ shows that Φ is a surjection.

Finally, we need to check that Φ is an injection. The 2 Calabi-Yau algebras we are considering can be thought of as deformations of the 2 Calabi-Yau algebra $A_{can} = Ext^{\bullet}(E, E)$ corresponding to the potential W_{can}. The deformation theory of A_{can} is controlled by a differential graded Lie algebra (DGLA) $\mathfrak{g}_{can} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{can}^n$, which is a DG Lie subalgebra of the DGLA $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \prod_{k\geqslant 1} Cycl^k(A_{can}[1])^{\vee} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^n$. Here we write $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^n = \{W | coh.degW = n\}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{can}^n = \{W \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^n | cyc.degW \geqslant n+2\}$, where coh.deg means the cohomological degree of W, and cyc.deg means the number of letters $\alpha, x_i, \xi_i, \beta, i = 1, ..., n$ that W contains. In these DGLAs, the Lie bracket is given by the Poisson bracket and the differential is given by $d = \{W_{can}, \bullet\}$. The DGLA $\mathfrak{g}_{can}$ is a DG Lie subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ since d increases both coh.deg and cyc.deg by 1. As vector spaces, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_{can} \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^n$, and $\mathfrak{g}^n = \{W \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^n | cyc.degW < n+2\}$. For the some reason as $\mathfrak{g}_{can}$, we have that $\mathfrak{g}$ is also a DG Lie subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}_{can}$ is a direct summand of the complex $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The latter is quasi isomorphic to the cyclic complex $CC_{\bullet}(A_{can})^{\vee}$. Let $A_{can}^{+} \subset A_{can}$ be the non-unital A_{∞}-subalgebra consisting of terms of positive cohomological degree. Then for cyclic homology, $HC_{\bullet}(A_{can}) \simeq HC_{\bullet}(A_{can}^{+}) \bigoplus HC_{\bullet}(\mathbf{k})$. In terms of dual complex $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, this isomorphism means the decomposition into a direct sum of the space of cyclic series in variables $x_i, \xi_i, \beta, i = 1, ..., n$ (corresponds to $HC_{\bullet}(A_{can}^{+})^{\vee}$), and the one in variable α (corresponds to $HC_{\bullet}(\mathbf{k})^{\vee}$). We have that series in α don't contribute to the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}_{can}$ since $\{W_{can}, \alpha\} = -\alpha^2$. Moreover, the cohomological degree of series in $x_i, \xi_i, \beta, i = 1, ..., n$ is non-positive. Hence $H^{\geqslant 1}(\mathfrak{g}_{can}) = 0$. In particular, $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_{can}) = 0$, which means that deformation of A_{can} is trivial. Thus, Φ is an injection. $\square$

References

[1] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Stability structures, motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and cluster transformations*, arXiv: 0811.2435.

[2] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Notes on A_∞ algebras, A_∞ categories and non-commutative geometry. I*, arxiv: 0606241.

[3] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Deformation Theory*, book in preparition.

Address:
Department of Mathematics, KSU, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA,
jren@ksu.edu